
Subject: Additional Information for Sign Variances – 622 Admirals Road / DVP00167

Dear

Kirsten Dafoe

Planner II Development

Township of Esquimalt | Development Services

Thank you for your initial review of our variance application for signage at 622 Admirals Road. We appreciate the opportunity to provide further clarification on several aspects of the proposal.

In this sense, our customer has decided to move forward with the two (2) fascia signs only (LB1 and LB2) and not to proceed with the banner (B). Furthermore, the customer has also decided to change characteristics of these lightboxes (LB1 and LB2). Now they will be just regular non-illuminated signs. This way, these signs will comply with the restriction that the sign cannot be back-lit and that they cannot project more than 23mm from the wall. We have already remitted the updated shop drawings reflecting these changes and now the lightboxes 1 and 2 (LB1 and LB2) are named Sign Box 1 and Sign Box 2 (SB1 and SB2).

2. Section 9.17.2 – Location: Signs 'LB2' Face Side Lot Line, Not Street

The neighboring property is a retail business, not a residential one, and consists of a single-story building occupying only the north half of the lot. The southern half of the lot is an open, paved parking area. The sign box 1 (formerly LB2) will be located on the ground floor, directly in front of the building's driveway leading to the underground parking lot. It will face the neighbor's open parking lot at the rear of their property, an area that is quite far from their building's parking spaces. We would also like to point out that this sign is now non-illuminated which means there is no light flowing toward the neighbor's parking lot.

We hope these changes and additional information addresses your concerns. If you require any further details or clarification, please don't hesitate to contact us. We are happy to provide any additional information needed.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Carlos Rengifo

Siggnarama Tri Cities