

# CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF ESQUIMALT

Municipal Hall 1229 Esquimalt Road Esquimalt, B.C. V9A 3P1

### **Minutes - Draft**

## **APC Design Review Committee**

Wednesday, September 10, 2025

2:30 PM

**Esquimalt Council Chambers** 

Present: 6 - Chair Tara Todesco

Vice Chair Shaun Smakal Member Avishai Gilad Member Eric Tran

Member Kody Thomson Member Doran Musgrove

Regrets: 1 - Member Chris Windjack

Staff: Bill Brown, Director of Development Services

Alex Tang, Planner Jakub Lisowski, Planner

Victoria McKean, Committee Coordinator/Recording

Secretary

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Todesco called the meeting to order at 2:31 PM and acknowledged with respect the Songhees and Xwsepsəm Nations on whose traditional territories we live, we learn, and we do our work.

#### 2. LATE ITEMS

There were no late items.

#### 3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Moved by Member Smakal, seconded by Member Thomson: That the agenda be approved as circulated. Carried Unanimously.

#### 4. MINUTES

1) <u>25-320</u> Minutes of the Design Review Committee meeting held on July 9, 2025

Moved by Member Smakal, seconded by Member Tran: That the Minutes of the Design Review Committee meeting held on July 9, 2025 be adopted as circulated. Carried Unanimously.

#### 5. STAFF REPORTS

1) <u>25-308</u> Rezoning Application - 1048 Craigflower Road, Staff Report No. DRC-25-013

Alex Tang, Planner, introduced the item and the applicant. Staff and the applicant responded to questions from the Committee.

Committee comments included the following:

- The use of native plants and inclusion of features that support biodiversity was encouraged;
- A revised shadow study and an updated site survey was requested;
- It was expressed that the street-facing view of the proposal lacks character and design interest;
- It was noted that insufficient information was provided to assess how the project relates to the neighbourhood; grading and shadow studies were unclear, and the north orientation was missing;
- It was suggested that Building 2 could be integrated into the hillside to reduce grading and preserve trees;
- Concern was expressed about the lack of relationship between the buildings and the street, as well as potential impacts from grading, retaining walls, and driveway shading;
- It was expressed that the proposal appeared incomplete; setbacks were unclear, neighbourhood context was missing, and no cross-section showing slopes or site conditions was provided;
- A full site section to show both north-south and east-west slopes was requested;
- It was stated that while they are not opposed to six units, there is not enough detail to assess setbacks, neighbourhood fit, or landscaping;
- Adding more diversity to the proposed plant list and including more layers in the landscape plan was suggested;
- It was observed that the proposed density is consistent with the broader neighbourhood context;
- A street elevation drawing to better understand the proposal was requested.

Moved by Member Smakal, seconded by Member Gilad: That this application be postponed to a future Design Review Committee meeting as more information from the applicant is required to provide a recommendation. Carried Unanimously.

2) <u>25-296</u> Official Community Plan (OCP) Recalibration 2025 – Review of draft Development Permit Area (DPA) Guidelines

Jakub Lisowski, Planner, presented a PowerPoint and responded to questions from the Committee.

#### Committee comments included:

- Applicability of bird-friendly guidelines to residential homes was emphasized;
- Concern was expressed that *Green Shores* guidelines are losing strength;
- Songbirds depend on native insects and landscaping and the weaker language around native plant use and the removal of landscape design elements that support birds is of concern;
- Many exemptions apply to most of Esquimalt's land base; without parameters, checklists, or incentives, there's risk of losing tree canopy and native plants;
- Biodiversity and bird habitat content has been reduced and watered down, it was suggested to include a cultural component on biodiversity;
- Language such as "limit" or "avoid" with respect to outdoor lighting is vague, clearer, operational parameters, ideally informed by studies, was emphasized;
- The need to streamline the document was recognized but it was stated that it should not come at the cost of losing valuable information;
- In Section 20.5.3 (1), a preference for allowing both complementary and contrasting design and including either integration with existing structures or deliberate contrasting designs for additions was suggested;
- With respect to Section 20.5.4, it was recommended to include windows in all bedrooms:
- Regarding accessibility, it was suggested that a dedicated section on accessibility which includes a long-term vision be included, that "universally accessible" be used rather than "accessible", and that it be made clear that the Building Code is a minimum and that exceeding it should be encouraged, with Rick Hansen Guidelines as the goal:
- Clear glazing and avoiding blocking windows with displays or decals should be encouraged;
- Lot scale, character, and diversity of facades should be preserved to encourage human-scale street frontages, clear sightlines, and transparency between indoor and outdoor activity;

- Galley style developments can work if they are well designed and more clarity would be beneficial;
- It was suggested to include an explicit mention of green roofs;
- Support was expressed for sustainable design guidelines, such as north-facing windows to reduce solar heat gain, and groundwater protection measures.

#### 6. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Member Tran, seconded by Member Smakal: That the meeting be adjourned at 5:12 PM. Carried Unanimously.

TARA TODESCO, CHAIR
APC DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE
THIS 10TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025

VICTORIA MCKEAN
COMMITTEE COORDINATOR
CERTIFIED CORRECT