

# **TECHNICAL MEMO**

ISSUED FOR USE

To: Joel Clary, Director of Engineering and Public Works Date: September 17, 2024

c: Memo No.: 02

From: Sam Huang File: 704-SWM.PLAN03237-02

Wilbert Yang Peter Klaassen

**Subject:** Township of Esquimalt – Gasification Pilot Testing

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Township of Esquimalt (ToE) retained Tetra Tech Canada Inc. (Tetra Tech) to investigate the feasibility of conducting pilot scale gasification testing on municipal solid waste (MSW).

## 1.1 Objective

The objective of Tetra Tech's work is to reach out to vendors and institutions regarding the possibility of carrying out pilot scale gasification testing on MSW and prepare a scope for the ToE on costs and timelines of conducting these tests.

### 1.2 Deliverable

Tetra Tech undertook the above noted assignment to provide the following deliverable.

 A memo on findings from reaching out to various vendors and institutions regarding pilot scale gasification testing of MSW.

### 2.0 BACKGROUND

The ToE wants to investigate the feasibility of using gasification as a waste management technology to process MSW in order to reduce reliance on landfills as well as to produce renewable energy and value-added products from MSW.

Gasification involves the conversion of solid waste materials into a gaseous fuel known as synthesis gas (syngas) through a high-temperature process in an oxygen limiting environment. This process typically involves heating the waste material to very high temperatures, usually above 700°C (1292°F), in the presence of a controlled amount of oxygen or steam. This process produces syngas, which primarily consists of carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen (H2), and other combustible gases. This syngas can be used as a fuel for generating heat and electricity or as a chemical feedstock for producing various products. Gasification offers the potential for energy recovery through the combustion of syngas to generate electricity and heat, which can be used for various purposes.

### 3.0 GASIFICATION PILOT

Tetra Tech reached out to a range of vendors and academic institutions regarding the possibility of carrying out pilot scale testing for MSW gasification. The vendors and institutions include:

- ICM Gasification Technology;
- Nexterra/University of British Columbia (UBC);
- Environeta;
- CHAR Technologies;
- University of Toronto; and
- University of Calgary.

# 3.1 ICM Gasification Technology

ICM is a US based gasification technology provider with various patented technologies. The contact person was Dr. Bert Bennett, PhD, who is the senior engineer/principal scientist. ICM had a pilot system that operated from 2009 to 2012 where they tested a wide range of feedstocks including Refuse-Derived Fuel (RDF) that was made from MSW. However, no testing was done with raw MSW. Additionally, ICM no longer operates this pilot system and is not able to carry out any testing of raw MSW.

### 3.2 Nexterra

Nexxtera is a Canadian based company and has a demonstration facility on the UBC campus. The contact person is Phil Beaty, who is the VP Strategic Relationships. The facility at the UBC campus processes urban wood residues and generates 2MW of electricity through Combined Heat and Power (CHP) engines. Through communication with Nexterra, they indicated that their technology can only gasify RDF pellets and not raw MSW.

#### 3.3 Environeta

Environeta is an Alberta based company, the contact person is Wayne Pratt. Although they indicated that there may be a unit available for pilot scale testing when first contacted, they have later confirmed that they in fact do not have a system that could carry out a trial for MSW. The recommendation was that with a proper survey and assessment of the waste, an accurate calculation and prediction can be made about the end products. The basic full-scale unit that Environeta produces can process 20,000 tonnes per year as an absolute minimum, and depending on a variety of factors the system is flexible enough to process more during peak hours and less during off hours, if needed. Generally, there are still many uncertainties and unknowns at this point in time, especially how and where the energy would be used from the process.

# 3.4 CHAR Technologies

CHAR Technologies (CHAR) is a Canadian based company with pyrolysis technology to process biomass and wood waste. The contact person is Andrew Friedenthal, Director of Business Development. In discussions with CHAR, they were very clear in that they do not do any work with MSW and only focuses on wood waste and organic waste.



## 3.5 University of Toronto

Prof. Nikolai DeMartini is a professor in the Department of Chemical Engineering & Applied Chemistry at the University of Toronto and his research focuses on biofuels, Waste-to-Energy (WtE) with focuses on gasification and pyrolysis. In discussions with Prof. DeMartini, he indicated that he is not aware of any facilities that can gasify a big enough sample of MSW to get meaningful results, and it would also be very challenging to conduct tests with raw MSW at a university laboratory as the MSW will be considered a level 2 biohazard and will make the testing very difficult to be carried out from an administrative perspective.

### 3.6 University of Calgary

The University of Calgary has an Energy and Environment Research Group (EERG) with the lead researcher being Dr. Nader Mahinpey. In a phone conversation with Dr. Mahinpey, he indicated that he may have some connections in industry that could carry out this pilot, although he did mention that it was unlikely. Unfortunately, he has been away and has not provided any further info.

### 3.7 Others

In addition to the vendors and institutions listed above, Tetra Tech also reached out to a number of other waste industry professionals who have experience with gasification and pyrolysis technologies, the general consensus was that there is unlikely to be any vendor that could carry out pilot scale gasification testing of MSW, especially given that gasification has yet to be proven as a successful technology at full scale for the processing of raw MSW.

### 4.0 INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES

There have been two gasification facilities that were built in Canada over the past two decades. These facilities are Plasco in Ottawa and Enerkem in Edmonton. Both facilities have either been shut down or are in the process of being shut down.

- Plasco had an agreement with the City of Ottawa. This facility used plasma torch gasification and was built and
  operation in 2007. It was a \$27 million dollar demonstration plant. There were many issues and challenges that
  hindered the company's ability to meet the City's requirements. By February 2015, the City dissolved its contract
  with Plasco and the company filed for creditor protection.
- The City of Edmonton signed a 25-year agreement with Enerkem in 2010 to build a gasification facility that would turn waste into ethanol. The facility cost an estimated \$200 million dollars and was commissioned in 2014. The facility had many problems that it was not able to resolve and is scheduled to close in 2024. The facility was only able to process less than 1% of the material it was designed to process.

### 5.0 SUMMARY

Through our research into conducting the pilot scale gasification of raw MSW, Tetra Tech has not been able to identify any vendor or institution that has the capabilities to carry out these tests. It should be noted that responses from University of Calgary and Environeta has yet to be received.



# 6.0 CLOSURE

We trust this technical memo meets your present requirements. If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, Tetra Tech Canada Inc.

Prepared by: 6

Sam Huang, M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Solid Waste Lead – Ontario Solid Waste Management Practice

Direct Line: 437.848.3434 Sam.Huang@tetratech.com Reviewed by:

Wilbert Yang, P.Eng. Senior Planning Engineer

Solid Waste Management Practice

Direct Line: 604.608.8648 Wilbert.Yang@tetratech.com

704-\$WW.PLAN0323 704-8WW.PLAN0323

Reviewed by:

Peter Klaassen, P.Eng.(Ontario)

Vice President – Ontario/Manitoba Division

Solid Waste Management Practice

Direct Line: 226.203.5209 Peter.Klaassen@tetratech.com

Enclosure: Appendix A Limitations on the Use of this Document

# APPENDIX A

### LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT



# LIMITATIONS ON USE OF THIS DOCUMENT

#### **GEOENVIRONMENTAL**

#### 1.1 USE OF DOCUMENT AND OWNERSHIP

This document pertains to a specific site, a specific development, and a specific scope of work. The document may include plans, drawings, profiles and other supporting documents that collectively constitute the document (the "Professional Document").

The Professional Document is intended for the sole use of TETRA TECH's Client (the "Client") as specifically identified in the TETRA TECH Services Agreement or other Contractual Agreement entered into with the Client (either of which is termed the "Contract" herein). TETRA TECH does not accept any responsibility for the accuracy of any of the data, analyses, recommendations or other contents of the Professional Document when it is used or relied upon by any party other than the Client, unless authorized in writing by TETRA TECH.

Any unauthorized use of the Professional Document is at the sole risk of the user. TETRA TECH accepts no responsibility whatsoever for any loss or damage where such loss or damage is alleged to be or, is in fact, caused by the unauthorized use of the Professional Document.

Where TETRA TECH has expressly authorized the use of the Professional Document by a third party (an "Authorized Party"), consideration for such authorization is the Authorized Party's acceptance of these Limitations on Use of this Document as well as any limitations on liability contained in the Contract with the Client (all of which is collectively termed the "Limitations on Liability"). The Authorized Party should carefully review both these Limitations on Use of this Document and the Contract prior to making any use of the Professional Document. Any use made of the Professional Document by an Authorized Party constitutes the Authorized Party's express acceptance of, and agreement to, the Limitations on Liability.

The Professional Document and any other form or type of data or documents generated by TETRA TECH during the performance of the work are TETRA TECH's professional work product and shall remain the copyright property of TETRA TECH.

The Professional Document is subject to copyright and shall not be reproduced either wholly or in part without the prior, written permission of TETRA TECH. Additional copies of the Document, if required, may be obtained upon request.

#### 1.2 ALTERNATIVE DOCUMENT FORMAT

Where TETRA TECH submits electronic file and/or hard copy versions of the Professional Document or any drawings or other project-related documents and deliverables (collectively termed TETRA TECH's "Instruments of Professional Service"), only the signed and/or sealed versions shall be considered final. The original signed and/or sealed electronic file and/or hard copy version archived by TETRA TECH shall be deemed to be the original. TETRA TECH will archive a protected digital copy of the original signed and/or sealed version for a period of 10 years.

Both electronic file and/or hard copy versions of TETRA TECH's Instruments of Professional Service shall not, under any circumstances, be altered by any party except TETRA TECH. TETRA TECH's Instruments of Professional Service will be used only and exactly as submitted by TETRA TECH.

Electronic files submitted by TETRA TECH have been prepared and submitted using specific software and hardware systems. TETRA TECH makes no representation about the compatibility of these files with the Client's current or future software and hardware systems.

### 1.3 STANDARD OF CARE

Services performed by TETRA TECH for the Professional Document have been conducted in accordance with the Contract, in a manner

consistent with the level of skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the jurisdiction in which the services are provided. Professional judgment has been applied in developing the conclusions and/or recommendations provided in this Professional Document. No warranty or guarantee, express or implied, is made concerning the test results, comments, recommendations, or any other portion of the Professional Document

If any error or omission is detected by the Client or an Authorized Party, the error or omission must be immediately brought to the attention of TETRA TECH.

#### 1.4 DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION BY CLIENT

The Client acknowledges that it has fully cooperated with TETRA TECH with respect to the provision of all available information on the past, present, and proposed conditions on the site, including historical information respecting the use of the site. The Client further acknowledges that in order for TETRA TECH to properly provide the services contracted for in the Contract, TETRA TECH has relied upon the Client with respect to both the full disclosure and accuracy of any such information.

#### 1.5 INFORMATION PROVIDED TO TETRA TECH BY OTHERS

During the performance of the work and the preparation of this Professional Document, TETRA TECH may have relied on information provided by third parties other than the Client.

While TETRA TECH endeavours to verify the accuracy of such information, TETRA TECH accepts no responsibility for the accuracy or the reliability of such information even where inaccurate or unreliable information impacts any recommendations, design or other deliverables and causes the Client or an Authorized Party loss or damage.

#### 1.6 GENERAL LIMITATIONS OF DOCUMENT

This Professional Document is based solely on the conditions presented and the data available to TETRA TECH at the time the data were collected in the field or gathered from available databases.

The Client, and any Authorized Party, acknowledges that the Professional Document is based on limited data and that the conclusions, opinions, and recommendations contained in the Professional Document are the result of the application of professional judgment to such limited data.

The Professional Document is not applicable to any other sites, nor should it be relied upon for types of development other than those to which it refers. Any variation from the site conditions present, or variation in assumed conditions which might form the basis of design or recommendations as outlined in this report, at or on the development proposed as of the date of the Professional Document requires a supplementary exploration, investigation, and assessment.

TETRA TECH is neither qualified to, nor is it making, any recommendations with respect to the purchase, sale, investment or development of the property, the decisions on which are the sole responsibility of the Client.

#### 1.7 NOTIFICATION OF AUTHORITIES

In certain instances, the discovery of hazardous substances or conditions and materials may require that regulatory agencies and other persons be informed and the client agrees that notification to such bodies or persons as required may be done by TETRA TECH in its reasonably exercised discretion.

